HIGHLIGHTS:

- **PROGRAM:** A mentoring and character-development program for first- or second-time juvenile offenders with nonviolent records that seeks to build virtuous character through the study of moral themes in literature.

- **EVALUATION METHODS:** A well-conducted randomized controlled trial (RCT) with a sample of 408 youth ages 11 to 18.

- **KEY FINDINGS:** A sizable reduction in re-arrest rates during the study’s follow-up period, which ranged from 4- to 46-months after random assignment. Specifically, 30 percent of youth in the treatment group were re-arrested versus 38 percent of youth in the control group. This effect was close to statistical significance (p=0.07).

- **OTHER:** Limitations of the evidence include (i) the study was conducted in a single mid-sized town in Indiana, and (ii) the effect on re-arrest rates, while substantial, did not quite reach statistical significance. A replication RCT in another site would be desirable to hopefully confirm the re-arrest findings (with statistical significance) and establish that they generalize to other settings where the program might be implemented.

---

**I. Evidence rating:**

**SUGGESTIVE TIER**

The standard for Suggestive Tier is:

*Programs that have been evaluated in one or more well-conducted RCTs (or studies that closely approximate random assignment) and found to produce sizable positive effects, but whose evidence is limited by only short-term follow-up, effects that fall short of statistical significance, or other factors. Such evidence suggests the program may be an especially strong candidate for further research, but does not yet provide confidence that the program would produce important effects if implemented in new settings.*
II. Description of the Program:

Reading for Life (RFL) is a diversion program for first- or second-time juvenile offenders with nonviolent records. RFL is designed to foster virtuous character development in at-risk adolescents through personal mentoring relationships and group discussion. Youth in the program study selected works of literature in reading groups of up to five participants, which meet twice a week for ten weeks. The reading groups are led by trained volunteer mentors. The 60-minute sessions consist of oral readings, journaling questions, and facilitated discussions of lessons about living virtuously that are drawn from the literature. The program culminates in a one-day community service project and a final presentation to parents and program staff. The mentors who lead the groups attend quarterly meetings to receive ongoing training and supervision. The program costs roughly $1,000 per participant.1

III. Evidence of Effectiveness:

Study Design:

This program was evaluated in an RCT with a sample of 408 youth (ages 11 to 18) who had been arrested between June 2010 and December 2013 in St. Joseph County, Indiana. After obtaining youths’ consent to participate, the study randomly assigned 194 youth to receive RFL and 214 to a control group required to complete 25 hours of community service (the county’s standard diversion program).2 The primary outcome—re-arrest—was measured with juvenile arrest data from the St. Joseph County Juvenile Justice Center as well as Indiana state public records on adult arrests leading to a court appearance. These data were acquired in May 2014, allowing for a follow-up period of between four to 46 months depending on the date of a given youth’s enrollment in the study.

Key Findings:

The study found that the program produced sizable effects on re-arrests. During the study follow-up period (four to 46 months after random assignment), 30 percent of RFL youth were re-arrested versus 38 percent of the control group (p<0.10, near statistical significance), and 15 percent of RFL youth were re-arrested for a felony offense versus 22 percent of the control group (p<0.05, statistically significant). In the subsample of 262 youth who were enrolled early in the study period and for whom longer-term follow-up data were therefore available, 23 percent of RFL youth were re-arrested during the two years after random assignment versus 37 percent of the control group (p<0.05, statistically significant). For felony offenses, these figures were 16 percent versus 24 percent, respectively (p<0.10, near statistical significance).

1 For this study, the average cost of managing a juvenile in the control group was $300, so the marginal cost of RFL per participant was $700.

2 Due to a limited number of volunteer mentors in year one, the probability of a youth being assigned to RFL was 33 percent in 2010 and 50 percent in subsequent years.
Summary of Study Quality:

This was a well-conducted RCT. The study used an intent-to-treat analysis that appropriately included all youth in their assigned group regardless of whether they completed the program. The study’s analysis also controlled for youth characteristics and accounted for the fact that the ratio of youth randomly assigned to the RFL versus the control group was somewhat lower in the first year of the study than in subsequent years. Due to the use of county and state arrest records to measure outcomes, no study participants were lost to follow-up.

The study had two limitations. First, although the study reported that the RFL and control groups were highly similar in key demographic characteristics (e.g., ethnicity, age, family background), it did not report on whether the two groups were also similar in pre-program levels of delinquency. Doing so would have helped confirm whether random assignment succeeded in creating two equivalent groups. In addition, the study was conducted in a single town in Indiana. Replication of the study’s findings in another RCT in another location would be desirable to confirm the initial results and to establish that they generalize to other settings where the program might be implemented.
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